UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,554	01/23/2006	Keijitsu Tanaka	Q91757	2075
23373 7590 07/02/2009 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			SHAHNAN SHAH, KHATOL S	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1645	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/565,554	TANAKA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Khatol S. Shahnan-Shah	1645					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ma	av 2009						
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-9 and 11-18 is/are pending in the	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9 and 11-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 11-18</u> is/are rejected.	· <u> </u>						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Coo the ditached detailed enter detail for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/565,554 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

Response to Amendment

1. Applicants' amendment after final of 05/26/2009 is acknowledged. The amendment has been entered into the record. Applicants' request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Rejection(s) Withdrawn

2. Rejection of claims 9 and 11-18 under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) made in paragraph 4 of the office action mailed 2/26/2009 is withdrawn in view of applicants' arguments of 05/26/2009.

New Rejection(s)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- **3.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9 and 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Clausen et al. (US 5, 563040) in view of Hirano et al. (Journal of Wood Science, vol, 46, 2000) Prior art of record applicants' 1449. and further in view of Khowala et al. US 6,946,277 B2

Claims 9 and 11-16 are drawn to an agent used for diagnosing wood decay which comprises an antibody obtained by sensitizing an animal with an antigen which is a protein having a molecular weight of 1000-100,000 obtained by culturing a wood destroying fungi, claims 17-18 are drawn to a dot blot kit for diagnosing decay in wood.

Clausen et al. teach an agent used for diagnosing wood decay which comprises an antibody obtained by sensitizing an animal with an antigen which a protein is obtained by culturing a wood destroying fungus (see abstract and claims). Clausen et al. teach brown rot fungus *Postia placenta* from family Basidiomyctes (see column 3), sensitizing an animal with an antigen and production of monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies (see example 1 and claims). Clausen et al. teach other fungi *Gloeophyllum trabeum*, *Coniophora puteana* and *Serpula* species (see column 7). Clausen et al. teach limitation of claims 17-18 a diagnostic kit having a polyester cloth device and a capture zone for the substrate (see claims 12-14). Clausen et al. do no teach specifically a molecular weight of 1000-100,000 or *Fomitopsis palustris*. These deficiencies are overcome by the teachings of Hirano et al.

Hirano et al. teach a fraction containing low molecular weight purified protein from cultures of brown rot fungus **Tyromyces** *palustris* (i.e. *Fomitopsis palustris*, new name) see abstract. Polyclonal antibodies raised to the fraction were used for immunogold labeling of spruce (see page 45). Hirano et al. teach culture conditions; preparation of protein fraction, preparation of polyclonal antibodies; immunobloting and ELISA (see pages 46-47). Hirano et al. do no teach specifically cellobiose as the main carbon source. This deficiency is overcome by the teachings of US 3, 677,899.

Khowala et al. teach cellobiose as the main carbon source for culturing fungi (see claims specially claim 2 and column 5, lines 27-45)

Application/Control Number: 10/565,554

Page 4

Art Unit: 1645

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the *protein* as taught by Hirano et al. in the assay of Clausen et al. and use the liquid medium taught by Khowala et al. to provide the claimed invention, since as taught in Clausen et al. numerous modification and embodiments devised by those skilled in art other the ones specifically described above, may be employed to detect the presence of the suspected antigens. For instance antibodies for other components or other groups of fungi can alternatively be used in this invention (see column 5). As to specific temperatures and molecular weights, using different temperatures and molecular weights would have been considered optimization of experimental parameters and would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. As to the limitation not reactive with other fungi it would be obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to provide antibodies specific to wood decay fungi.

Conclusion

5. No claims are allowed.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khatol Shahnan-Shah whose telephone number is 571-272-0863. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Wednesday 12:30 PM-7:00 PM If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert B. Mondesi can be reached on 571-272-0956.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,554 Page 5

Art Unit: 1645

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

/Khatol S Shahnan-Shah/
Examiner, Art Unit 1645
June 29, 2009
/Robert B Mondesi/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1645